

### REMARKS

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 7, 19 and 20 under 35 USC § 112 first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement. While Applicant does not necessarily agree that the claims filed comply with 35 USC § 112, claims 1 and 7 have been amended to storing features of an object stored in a searchable database. With regard to claims 19 and 20, Applicant has not amended the specification with regard to "stored subject file", as these terms are not present in these claims. However, claims 19 and 20 have been amended for clarification. In particular, these claims have been amended to make it clear that the user is able to select items for entering data into a predetermined search field in a searchable database with respect to an object to which the present invention is directed.

Independent claims 1, 7, 19 and 20 are directed to a software product method and method of entering data into a searchable database. Claims 19 and 20 are directed to a real estate database whereas claims 1 and 7 are directed generically to various other types of databases. For example, as set forth in the specification at page 10, lines 16-29, various other types of objects may be stored in databases for searching. Examples of these are also provided in new dependent claims 26 and 27. The invention, as set forth, is directed to entering data into a database in a quick and efficient process. In particular, a display screen is provided having a plurality of predefined selection items relating to features of an object stored in a searchable database. The object may comprise a house, automobile, sporting good equipment, insurance claim etc. as set forth at page 10. The user is able to use the predefined selection items for entering data into the predetermined search field in the searchable database in a quick and efficient manner. This is in stark contrast to the cited Holbrook reference which is directed to a search engine. There is no teaching or suggestion on how data is entered into the database. In the present invention, the use of predefined selection items allows the user to quickly go through the data entry process selecting only those items appropriate for the particular object to be stored. Thus, by simply selecting the item, for example by clicking or using a touch screen, the user can enter data with respect to an object in a quick and efficient manner, thus, later allowing people to search for information on a database that is created according

to the present invention whereas the Holbrook reference is directed to a field of database searching and in particular the presentation of aggregate database search results by an intuitive graphic user interface (see page 1, paragraph 3). The Holbrook reference is directed to efficiently displaying search results to a user to allow searching on a database. The present invention is directed to finding an efficient method, system, and software program for entering data so that it may be later searched in a database and each field must be entered by using a keyboard. There is no teaching or suggestion how the data is entered in the Holbrook reference as this is directed to obtaining search results for finding things on the web. Holbrook is directed to a system merely for providing display of search results that are believed to be more efficient for the user. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the Holbrook reference is directed to an area totally apart and distinct from the present invention and therefore could not teach or suggest the invention as currently set forth.

New claims 26-29 have been added to further define the present invention and are believed to be patentably distinct for at least the same reasons previously discussed with respect to the independent claims. Clearly there is no teaching of entering data using a tree hierarchy as taught and claimed by Applicant.

With respect to the Morimoto reference on page 2 of the specification, this has been corrected to provide the correct number. A previous incorrect reference was made to U.S. 5,784,069 instead of U.S. 5,784,059 as Applicant provided in the previously submitted IDS.

In view of the foregoing it is respectfully submitted that the claims in their present form are in condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Registration No. 27,370

Frank Pincelli/phw  
Rochester, NY 14650  
Telephone: 585-588-2728  
Facsimile: 585-477-4646

If the Examiner is unable to reach the Applicant(s) Attorney at the telephone number provided, the Examiner is requested to communicate with Eastman Kodak Company Patent Operations at (585) 477-4656.